1	BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION					
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON					
3						
4 5 6 7 8 9	In the Matter of the Educator License of SARAH SWANSON-SUHRSTEDT	))))))	STIPULATION OF FACTS AND FINAL ORDER OF DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR REINSTETEMENT AND REVOCATION OF RIGHT TO APPLY FOR LICENSURE			
10	On July 21, 2023, the Teacher Stand	lards	and Practices Commission (Commission) issued			
11	a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Sara	h Sw	anson-Suhrstedt (Swanson-Suhrstedt) regarding			
12	a June 20, 2023, Commission decision to deny Swanson-Suhrstedt reinstatement of an Oregon					
13	educator license.					
14	After review of the matters, Swanso	After review of the matters, Swanson-Suhrstedt and the Commission agree that their				
15	respective interests, together with the public interest, are best served by a stipulation to certain					
16	facts, and a condition for Swanson-Suhrste	facts, and a condition for Swanson-Suhrstedt to apply for reinstatement of her license.				
17	This Order sets forth the facts upon	whic	the parties have agreed and the condition for			
18	application for reinstatement. Swanson-Sul	hrstee	It stipulates to the findings of fact below.			
19	By signing below, Swanson-Suhrste	By signing below, Swanson-Suhrstedt acknowledges, understands, stipulates, and agrees				
20	to the following: (i) she has been fully advis	ed of	her rights to a hearing to contest the findings of			
21	fact, and order set forth below, and fully an	fact, and order set forth below, and fully and finally waives all such rights and any rights to				
22	appeal or otherwise challenge this Stipulati	on of	Facts and Final Order of Denial of			
23	Reinstatement Application and Revocation	of Ri	ght to Apply for Licensure (Stipulation and Final			
24	Order); (ii) this Stipulation and Final Order is a public document and disclosed to the public					
25	upon request by the Commission; (iii) this Stipulation and Final Order is contingent upon and					
26	subject to approval and adoption by the Commission. If the Commission does not approve and					
27	adopt this Stipulation and Final Order, the	n neit	her Swanson-Suhrstedt nor the Commission are			
28	bound by the terms herein; (iv) she has fully	y read	l this Stipulation and Final Order, and			
29	understands it completely; (v) she voluntar	ily, wi	thout any force or duress, enters into this			
30	Stipulation and Final Order and consents to	o issu	ance and entry of the Stipulated Final Order			
31	below; (vi) she states that no promise or rep	presei	ntation has been made to induce her to sign this			
32	Stipulation and Final Order; and (vii) she has consulted with an attorney regarding this					
33	Stipulation and Final Order and has been fully advised with regard to her rights thereto, or					
34	waives any and all rights to consult with an	attor	ney prior to entering into this Stipulation and			
35	Final Order and issuance and entry of the S	tipula	ted Final Order below.			
36						

PAGE 1-FINAL ORDER OF STIPULATION OF FACTS OF DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR REINSTETEMENT AND REVOCATION OF RIGHT TO APPLY FOR LICENSURE - SARAH SWANSON-SUHRSTEDT

1		HISTORY OF COMMISSION DISCIPLINE
2.	1.	On March 28, 2012, the Commission received a report from the Central Pont School
3		District alleging Swanson-Suhrstedt engaged in sexual conduct with a student. The
4		Commission subsequently initiated an investigation. The investigation determined that
5		on an unspecified date in February 2012, Swanson-Suhrstedt engaged in sexual relations
6		with an eighteen (18) year old student in the District.
7		
8	2.	The investigation determined that between February and March 2012, Swanson-
9		Suhrstedt engaged in inappropriate conversations with a different eighteen (18) year old
10		student via text messages and a cell phone communication application. These
11		conversations were sexual in nature.
12		
13	3.	The investigation determined that in February and March of 2012, Swanson-Suhrstedt
14		engaged in inappropriate conversations with another student. These conversations were
15		sexual in nature.
16		
17	4.	On February 11, 2013, Swanson-Suhrstedt's attorney in the matter with the Commission,
18		notified the Commission investigator Swanson-Suhrstedt would not provide an interview
19		with the Commission.
20		
21	5-	On July 26, 2013, Swanson-Suhrstedt's investigation came before the Commission. The
22		Commission recommended to revoke her right to apply for an Oregon educator license.
23		On December 13, 2013, a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing was sent to Swanson-
24		Suhrstedt, and she acknowledged receipt. Swanson-Suhrstedt did not request a hearing.
25		
26	6.	On January 10, 2014, and the Commission adopted a default order revoking Swanson-
27		Suhrstedt's right to apply for an educator license. The default order established that the
28		conduct described in paragraphs 1-3 constituted gross neglect of duty in violation of ORS
29		342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(1)
30		(Recognize the worth and dignity of all persons and respect for each individual), OAR
31		584-020-0010(5) (Use professional judgment); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(0) as it
32		incorporates OAR 584-020-0035(1)(c)(B) (Not accepting or giving or exchanging
33		romantic or overly personal gifts or notes with a student), OAR 584-020-0035(1)(c)(D)
34		(Honoring appropriate adult boundaries with students in conduct and conversations at all

PAGE 2-FINAL ORDER OF STIPULATION OF FACTS OF DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR REINSTETEMENT AND REVOCATION OF RIGHT TO APPLY FOR LICENSURE - SARAH SWANSON-SUHRSTEDT

12

times); and OAR 584-020-0040(4)(f) (Any sexual conduct with a student) as defined at the time by OAR 584-020-0005(5).

3

4 7. On June 22, 2022, the Commission received Swanson-Suhrstedt's application for 5 reinstatement of licensure, which included a written statement by her, a testimonial from 6 her employer, and a log of professional development activities she had completed. 7 Swanson-Suhrstedt also provided a mental health evaluation and risk assessment based on partial information self-reported by Swanson-Suhrstedt. On May 3, 2022, Swanson-8 9 Suhrstedt was interviewed by Commission investigators and denied the allegation of a sexual relationship with a student and conversations of a sexual nature with another 10 student. Swanson-Suhrstedt did take responsibility for texts and other digital exchanges 11 of a sexual nature with students. On June 22, 2022, the Commission considered 12 Swanson-Suhrstedt's application, investigative reports, and her support materials for 13 reinstatement of her license. The Commission recommended to deny Swanson-14 Suhrstedt's reinstatement application and revoke her right to apply for an Oregon 15 educator license. 16

17

8. On July 27, 2022, a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing was sent to Swanson-Suhrstedt, 18 and she acknowledged receipt. On August 1. 2022, Martin Dolan, Swanson-Suhrstedt's 19 attorney in the matter with the Commission, requested a hearing. On August 3, 2022, 20 21 Dolan indicated Swanson-Suhrstedt wished to resolve the matter through a stipulated order. Swanson-Suhrstedt and the Commission agreed in the interest of both parties, to 22 23 allow the withdrawal of her application and for her to not apply for a reinstatement of her 24 Oregon educator license for four (4) months from the date the order became effective. On October 14, 2022, the Commission adopted the Stipulation of Facts and Order Allowing 25 26 Application Withdrawal.

27

9. On April 14, 2023, Swanson-Suhrstedt applied to the Commission for reinstatement of
her teaching license, and a Commission investigation was initiated. During the
investigation, Swanson-Suhrstedt provided materials supporting her request for
reinstatement. Swanson-Suhrstedt provided a mental health evaluation and risk
assessment based on partial information self-reported by Swanson-Suhrstedt. SwansonSuhrstedt participated in a Commission interview to explain her history as a licensed
teacher, what actions she had taken to convince the Commission she was fit to hold a

PAGE 3-FINAL ORDER OF STIPULATION OF FACTS OF DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR REINSTETEMENT AND REVOCATION OF RIGHT TO APPLY FOR LICENSURE - SARAH SWANSON-SUHRSTEDT

1	license, and denied the allegation of a sexual relationship with a student and				
2	conversations of a sexual nature with another student. Swanson-Suhrstedt did take				
3	responsibility for texts, digital exchanges and conversations of a sexual nature with some				
4	students. On June 20, 2023, Swanson-Suhrstedt's request for reinstatement came before				
5	the Commission at their quarterly meeting. Swanson-Suhrstedt was requested to appear				
6	before the Commission at this meeting to provide an oral explanation as to why she				
7	should be reinstated and for her to be available for questions from the Commissioners.				
8					
9	10. The Commission denied Swanson-Suhrstedt's application for reinstatement because the				
10	information she submitted, along with her reinstatement documents, did not establish				
11	that she was eligible to hold an educator's license. The information in Swanson-				
12	Suhrstedt's reinstatement application indicates, but is not limited to, the following				
13	reasons for denial of her reinstatement:				
14					
15	a. Swanson-Suhrstedt failed to take responsibility for her past conduct and she has				
16	not proven to the Commission that she has addressed the issues that led to				
17	revocation of her license to ensure that similar conduct will not reoccur;				
18	b. Swanson-Suhrstedt made statements on a 2022 employment application in which				
19	she provided false statements regarding the prior police, district, and TSPC				
20	investigation of the conduct that led to the revocation of her license;				
21	c. Swanson-Suhrstedt minimized the seriousness of the conduct that led to her				
22	revocation by stating on a June 2022 employment application that the subject				
23	student was from another school, that she did not have direct supervisory				
24	authority over him, that she did not teach him, and that he was over eighteen (18)				
25	at the time;				
26	d. Swanson-Suhrstedt provided mental health evaluations and risk assessments in				
27	support of her reinstatement. The evaluations and assessments are insufficient to				
28	support Swanson-Suhrstedt's reinstatement because she did not provide the				
29	evaluator with the background information independent of her own statements;				
30	e. The nature of the conduct that led to Swanson-Suhrstedt's revocation is basis to				
31	deny reinstatement because the conduct is inconsistent with the character and				
32	traits the Commission expects of licensed educators;				
33	f. Swanson-Suhrstedt's reinstatement application fails to establish to the				
34	Commission that she should be reinstated to hold an educator license.				

PAGE 4-FINAL ORDER OF STIPULATION OF FACTS OF DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR REINSTETEMENT AND REVOCATION OF RIGHT TO APPLY FOR LICENSURE - SARAH SWANSON-SUHRSTEDT

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:			
2 3 4 5	Sarah-Swanson-Suhrstedt Date			
6	AFER INIT			
7	Carcy 19/6/2023			
8 9	Cristina Edgar, Director of Professional Practices Date Teacher Standards and Practices Commission			
10				
11	FINAL ORDER			
12	The Commission hereby denies Sarah Swanson-Suhrstedt's reinstatement application for			
13	an Oregon educator license and revokes Sarah Swanson-Suhrstedt's Right to Apply for an Oregon			
14	Educator License.			
15	20			
16	IT IS SO ORDERED THIS day of October, 2023.			
17	TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION			
18	1			
19	11/1			
20 21	By: Artsan			
22	Dr. Anthony Rosilez, Executive Director			